GENERALIZED SOLUTIONS TO THE KdV HIERARCHY IN 2-DIMENSION

Maurílio Márcio Melo*

Dedicated to Hebe Biagioni, adviser and friend

Abstract

We obtain results of existence and uniqueness in the algebra $\mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2)$ for the Cauchy problem to the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy in $\mathbf{R}^2$. In particular, we show a result on the existence for initial rough data such as the distribution δ-Dirac and its derivatives. Our results can be extended to equations in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with minor changes on the initial data.

1 Introduction

In this work we extend for KdV hierarchy in the 2-dimensional space the results obtained in [17] for the KdV hierarchy in $\mathbf{R}$. We obtain results of existence and uniqueness of solutions in $\mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2)$, for the Cauchy problem for KdV hierarchy in $\mathbf{R}^2$. Our results are general, since they allow singular initial data such as the distribution δ -Dirac and its derivatives. The space $\mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$, see section 1.1, is a variant of the algebra of the generalized functions established by J. F. Colombeau in [8] (see also [1] and [19]).

In 1-dimensional space other equations such as Korteweg de Vries, third member of Lax hierarchy, Olver, Benney, Fisher, Benjamin-Bona-Mahony (BBM),

Key words and phrases. singular initial data, nD-KdV hierarchy, initial value problem

*This work was supported in part by FUNAPE-UFG and PADCT-CNPq.
The author thanks R.A. Garcia and F. V. e Silva, for help and suggestions in the preparation of the paper.

Smith (S), Benjamin-Ono (BO), Burgers, cubic Schrödinger (NLS) and modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) were studied in the same context, as shown in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [16] and [17].

The KdV hierarchy in $\mathbf{R}$ is given by a family of equations of the form

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_m(u), \tag{1.1}$$

where $u : (0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, $(t, x) \to u(t, x)$ is the function to be determinated, and for each $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $G_m(u)$ is the gradient in u of a functional $F_m(u)$, which is constant along solutions of the KdV equation

$$u_t = uu_x + u_{xxx}, \tag{1.2}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} F_m(u + \lambda v)|_{\lambda=0} = (G_m(u), v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} G_m(u(x))v(x)dx, \quad v \in L^2(\mathbf{R}).$$

Recent results of well-posedeness in Sobolev spaces with negative index were obtained for the equation (1.2) in [7]. In [20], results of well-posedeness in weighted Sobolev spaces, were obtained for the family (1.1).

The family of functionals $\{F_m(u)\}|_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ in consideration, was exhibited by Miura, Gardner, Kruskal and Zabusky in [14] and [18]. They show the existence of infinitely many functionals of the form $F_m(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f_m(u)dx$, where each $f_m(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $m + 1$ in the x-derivatives of u up to order $m - 1$, as seen in [22]. An alternative proof of the existence of these functionals was presented by Lax in [15].

The generalization of equation (1.2) to the space $\mathbf{R}^n$, as seen in [21], consists in considering $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{1+n}$ and equation

$$u_t = u \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j^2}. \tag{1.3}$$

The proof of our main results, Theorem 2.2, depends on the existence of many conserved functionals of the equation (1.3). We can observe directly three of them, which are

$$F_0(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} 3u dx, \;\; F_1(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} u^2 dx \;\; \text{and} \;\; F_2(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\frac{1}{6} u^3 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2) dx,$$

whose respective gradients are given by

$$G_0(u) = 3, \quad G_1(u) = u \quad \text{ and } \; G_2(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2 + \Delta u, \tag{1.4}$$

where Δ and ∇ are usual operators.

In [11] and [15] it is published the formula due to Lenart, valid for real case,

$$LG_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_{m+1},$$

where L is the operator $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} + \frac{2}{3}u\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3}u_x$. The generalization of this operator to the case $\mathbf{R}^n$ is given by

$$L = \sum_{\alpha_3} D^{\alpha_3} + \frac{2}{3}u \sum_{\alpha_1} D^{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{3}\sum_{\alpha_1} D^{\alpha_1} u, \;\; \text{where } \alpha_i \in \mathbf{N}^n, \;\; |\alpha_i| = i.$$

Note that L is antisymmetric ($L^* = -L$). The Lenart relation is now given by

$$LG_m = \sum_{\alpha_1} D^{\alpha_1} G_{m+1}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $G_m(u)$ for $m = 0, 1, 2$ is given by (1.4) and for $m \geq 3$, it can be determined by following [15], with minor changes. In fact, it is possible to prove that $G_m(u)$ is, for every m, the gradient of a functional

$$F_m(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_m(u) dx, \tag{1.6}$$

which is a conserved quantity to equation (1.3). In the expression (1.6) $f_m(u)$ is a polynomial in u and its derivatives in the variable x.

It is easy to verify that (1.5) holds for $m = 0$ and 1. By following the ideas of Lax [15], it is possible to exhibit an infinite sequence of such functionals and after rescaling, obtain that f_m is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(u) = \; & \textstyle\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} (D^{\alpha_{m-1}} u)^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} c_{\alpha_{m-2}} u (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u)^2 + c_{\alpha_0} u^{m+1} \\ & + Q_m(u, D^{\alpha_1} u, ..., D^{\alpha_{m-3}} u), \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where c_{α_i} are appropriate constants and Q_m is a polynomial in the x-derivatives of u up to order $m-3$. The terms of f_m are given by a product

$$\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (D^{\alpha_i} u)^{l_{\alpha_i}}, \tag{1.8}$$

where α_i and l_{α_i} satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(1+\frac{i}{2})l_{\alpha_i} = m+1, \tag{1.9}$$

see [18] for the real case. Thus we obtain a sequence G_m of gradients of functionals F_m which are constant values along the solutions of equation (1.3). The hierarchy of the KdV equation in dimension n, as seen in [21], is given by the family of equations

$$u_t = \sum \partial^{\alpha_1} G_m(u). \tag{1.10}$$

For the case $m = 3$ and $n = 2$, we obtain the KdV of fifth order in dimension two, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t \;\; = \;\; & \frac{5}{6}u^2u_x + \frac{5}{6}u^2u_y + \frac{10}{3}u_xu_{xx} + \frac{4}{3}u_xu_{xy} + \frac{4}{3}u_yu_{xy} + \frac{4}{3}u_xu_{yy} + \\ & \frac{4}{3}u_yu_{xx} + \frac{10}{3}u_yu_{yy} + \frac{5}{3}uu_{xxx} + \frac{5}{3}uu_{xxy} + \frac{5}{3}uu_{xyy} + \frac{5}{3}uu_{yyy} + \\ & u_{xxxxx} + u_{xxxxy} + 2u_{xxxyy} + 2u_{xxyyy} + u_{xyyyy} + u_{yyyyy}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe from (1.7) that the polynomial f_m has degree $m+1$ and order $m-1$. Also from (1.9) we have that $G_m(u)$ is a polynomial of degree m and order $2m-2$. Thus, the equation (1.10) is a nonlinear equation of order $2m-1$ and degree m. By using equation (1.5) it is possible to show that each functional $F_m(u)$ is also a constant along solutions of equation (1.10).

1.1 The $G_2(\Omega)$ Algebra and some Definitions

We study the Cauchy problem for the 2D-KdV hierarchy in the Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$, a particular case of the algebras $\mathcal{G}_{p,q}(\Omega)$, defined in [4].

Let $I = (0,1)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be an open set. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_2[\Omega] = (H^\infty(\Omega))^I = \;\; & \{\widehat{u} : I \to H^\infty(\Omega),\, \varepsilon \in I \mapsto \widehat{u}_\varepsilon \in H^\infty(\Omega), \\ & \text{real valued for all } \varepsilon > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H^\infty(\Omega) = \cap_{k\in\mathbf{Z}} H^k(\Omega)$.

We set

$$\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega] = \{\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_2[\Omega] \text{ such that for all } k \in \mathbf{Z}^+, \exists\, N > 0 \text{ such that}$$
$$\|\widehat{u}_\varepsilon\|_k = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-N}),\ \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0\}, \tag{1.11}$$
$$\mathcal{N}_2[\Omega] = \{\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega] \text{ such that for all } k \in \mathbf{Z}^+,\ \text{and } M > 0,$$
$$\|\widehat{u}_\varepsilon\|_k = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{M}),\ \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_k$ is the usual norm of the Sobolev space $H^k(\Omega)$. The elements of $\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]$ are denoted by $\widehat{u}$, $\widehat{v}$,$\cdots$ and are called moderate. The set $\mathcal{N}_2[\Omega]$ is called null space.

If Ω has the cone property, then, see [4]:

(i) $\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]$ is an algebra with partial derivatives,

(ii) $\mathcal{N}_2[\Omega]$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]$ which is invariant under derivatives,

(iii) If $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_2[\Omega]$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \widehat{u}_\varepsilon(x) = 0$.

The set $\mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$, defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_2(\Omega) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]}{\mathcal{N}_2[\Omega]},$$

is also an algebra; its elements, denoted by u, v, $\cdots$ are called *generalized functions* in Ω. The multiplication in $\mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$ is defined on representatives i.e., if u, v $\in \mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$ then the product uv is the class of $\widehat{uv}$, where $\widehat{u}$ and $\widehat{v}$ are the respective representatives of u and v, It is easy to see that this product doesn't depend on the representatives. We then have

Theorem 1.1. *([4]) (i) There is a derivative operator in $\mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$ which is linear and is induced by the derivative in $\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]$, i.e., if $u \in \mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$ then $D^\alpha u := cl(D^\alpha \widehat{u})$ in $\mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$, where $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]$ is a representative of u, and $cl(D^\alpha \widehat{u}) \in \mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$ is the class of $D^\alpha \widehat{u}$.*
(ii) There is an embedding of $H^{-\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \cup_{s\in\mathbf{R}} H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ obtained in the following way: we fix $\rho \in S(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \rho(x)dx = 1 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} x^\alpha \rho(x)dx = 0,\ \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n, |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Let $\iota : w \to (w * \rho_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, where $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n}\rho(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$. This defines a linear injection of $H^{-\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\mathbf{R}^n]$, which induces an embedding $H^{-\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$,(so we can look $H^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$).

Next we give some definitions:

Definition 1.1. *For $u \in \mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n)$, the restriction of u to $\{0\}\times\mathbf{R}^n$ is the class of $\widehat{u}_\varepsilon(0,\cdot)$ in $\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where $\widehat{u}_\varepsilon$ is a representative of u. We denote this class by $u|_{\{t=0\}}$.*

Definition 1.2. *We say that $u \in \mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$ is of r-(log)$^{\frac{1}{j}}$-type, $2 \le r \le \infty$, $j \ge 1$, if it has a representative $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]$ such that*

$$\|\widehat{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^r} = \mathcal{O}(|\log\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{j}}), \ \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Notice that if $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\Omega]$, then (1.11) holds with the $W^{k,r}(\Omega)$-norm.

We also observe a nonlinear property of generalized functions: if $F \in O_M(\mathbf{R}^l)$ i.e., F is a smooth function and together with all its derivatives grow at most like some power of $|x|$ as $|x| \to \infty$, we can define $F(u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_l) \in \mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$ for $u_i \in \mathcal{G}_2(\Omega)$, $i = 1,\cdots,l$, (see [1]).

Definition 1.3. *Let $P(u,\partial^\alpha u)$ be a polynomial in u and its derivatives. We say that u is a solution to the problem*

$$\begin{array}{l} u_t = P(u,\partial^\alpha u) \text{ in } \mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n) \\ u|_{\{t=0\}} = g \text{ in } \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n), \end{array}$$

if for every representative $\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{E}_{M,2}[(0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n]$ of u and $\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\mathbf{R}^n]$ of g, there are $\widehat{N} \in \mathcal{N}_2[(0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n]$ and $\widehat{\eta} \in \mathcal{N}_2[\mathbf{R}^n]$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \widehat{u}_t = P(\widehat{u},\partial^\alpha\widehat{u}) + \widehat{N}, \text{ in } (0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n \\ \widehat{u}|_{\{t=0\}} = \widehat{g} + \widehat{\eta}, \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n. \end{array}$$

For more details on generalized functions, see [1], [8], [9] and [19].

2 Existence of generalized solutions to the hierarchy in $\mathbf{R}^2$

In this section we shall establish a result on the existence of solutions in $\mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2)$ for the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} &u_t = \partial_{x_1} G_m(u) + \partial_{x_2} G_m(u), \ \ in \ \mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2) \\ &u|_{\{t=0\}} = g \ \ in \ \ \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The following result, due Saut [21, Theorem 2], is used in the proof of the Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $g\in H^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $T>0$ finite. Then the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{aligned} &u_t = \textstyle\sum_{\alpha_1} D^{\alpha_1} G_m(u), \\ &u|_{\{t=0\}} = g \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

has a solution in $L^\infty((0,T):H^m(\mathbf{R}^n))$.

Remark 2.1. *It follows from this result and Sobolev's embedding theorem, that if $g\in H^j(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $j\in\mathbf{Z}$, then $u\in C^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

In the proof of the Theorem 2.2, we need the following lemma

Lemma 2.1. *If u is a solution to the problem (2.13), for the case $n=2$, then for every $k\in\mathbf{N}$ there is a polynomial P_{k+2} in the variables $\|D^{\alpha_l}\widehat{g}_\varepsilon\|_0$, $l\le k+2$, of degree $k+2$, such that*

$$\|D^{\alpha_k}u\|_{L^\infty} \le P_{k+2}\,(\|g\|_0\,,\|D^{\alpha_1}g\|_0\,,\cdots,\|D^{\alpha_{k+2}}g\|_0). \tag{2.14}$$

A similar estimate also holds for $\|D^{\alpha_{k+1}}u(t,\cdot)\|_0$, i.e.,

$$\|D^{\alpha_{k+1}}u(t,\cdot)\|_0 \le \widetilde{P}_{k+2}\,(\|g\|_0\,,\|D^{\alpha_1}g\|_0\,,\cdots,\|D^{\alpha_{k+2}}g\|_0). \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. To simplify the notations, we drop $\mathbf{R}^2$ in the integral; also the constants are taken equal one. The conservation law

$$F_1(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int u^2(x,t)dx,$$

gives that $\|u(t)\|_0 = \|g\|_0$. Therefore, it follows from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality (2.16), (see [10]),

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^p} &\leq C \left\|D^\beta v\right\|_{L^q}^\theta \|v\|_{L^r}^{1-\theta}, \\ \frac{1}{p} - \frac{|\alpha|}{n} &= \theta(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{|\beta|}{n}) + (1-\theta)\frac{1}{r}, \theta \in [\frac{|\alpha|}{|\beta|}, 1], \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

with $p = \infty,\ n = 2,\ q = r = 2,\ \alpha = 0,\ |\beta| = 2,\ \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and Young's inequality (2.17)

$$ab \leq \delta \frac{a^p}{p} + k(\delta)\frac{b^q}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \tag{2.17}$$

with $p = q = 2$, that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|g\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0 . \tag{2.18}$$

To estimate $\sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0$, we use the conservation law

$$F_3(u) = \int [\sum_{\alpha_2} (D^{\alpha_2} u)^2 + \sum_{\alpha_1} c_{\alpha_1} u (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 + cu^4] dx,$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sum_{\alpha_2} (D^{\alpha_2} u)^2 dx &= -\sum_{\alpha_1} \int c_{\alpha_1} u (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 dx - c\int u^4 dx + \\ & \int \sum_{\alpha_2} (D^{\alpha_2} g)^2 dx + \sum_{\alpha_1} \int c_{\alpha_1} g (D^{\alpha_1} g)^2 + c\int g^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following result

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0^2 \leq \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \int \sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 dx + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \int \sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} g)^2 dx + \\ c\,\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int u^2 dx + c\,\|g\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int g^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

To estimate the term $\int \sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 dx$, we use the conservation law

$$F_2(u) = \int [\sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 + cu^3] dx,$$

so we obtain $\int \sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 = \int \sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} g)^2 + c\int g^3 - c\int u^3$,

and therefore $\displaystyle\int \sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha_1} \|D^{\alpha_1} g\|_0^2 + c\,\|g\|_{L^\infty} \int g^2 + c\,\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \int u^2$, or

$$\int \sum_{\alpha_1} (D^{\alpha_1} u)^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha_1} \|D^{\alpha_1} g\|_0^2 + (\|g\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} g\|_0) \|g\|_0^2 + \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_0^2 . \tag{2.20}$$

By replacing (2.20) in (2.19) and using (2.18), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0^2 \ \leq\ & c(\|g\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0) \sum_{\alpha_1} \|D^{\alpha_1} g\|_0^2 + c(\|g\|_0 + \\ & \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0)(\|g\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} g\|_0) \|g\|_0^2 + c(\|g\|_0 + \\ & \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0)(\|g\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0) \|g\|_0^2 + c(\|g\|_0 + \\ & \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} g\|_0) \sum_{\alpha_1} \|D^{\alpha_1} g\|_0^2 + c(\|g\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0) \\ & \|g\|_0^2 + c(\|g\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} g\|_0) \|g\|_0^2 .\end{aligned}$$

By using repeatedly Young's inequality (2.17) with $p = q = 2$, we obtain an estimate to $\sum_{\alpha_2} \|D^{\alpha_2} u(t)\|_0$ polynomial type in $\|g\|_0$ and $\|D^\alpha g\|_0$ $|\alpha| = 1, 2$ of degree 2, which replaced in (2.18), gives

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq P_2 (\|g\|_0 , \|D^{\alpha_1} g\|_0 , \|D^{\alpha_2} g\|_0),$$

and therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq P_2 (\|g\|_0 , \|D^{\alpha_1} g\|_0 , \|D^{\alpha_2} g\|_0).$$

Coming back to Eq. (2.20), we obtain a similar estimate for $\sum_{\alpha_1} \|D^{\alpha_1} u\|_0$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\alpha_1} \|D^{\alpha_1} u\|_0 \leq \widetilde{P}_2 (\|g\|_0 , \|D^{\alpha_1} g\|_0 , \|D^{\alpha_2} g\|_0).$$

In the next, we use similar arguments, the conservation laws and induction to complete the proof. Given an integer ℓ, we have from (2.16) and (2.17), the estimate

$$\sum_{\alpha_\ell} \|D^{\alpha_\ell} u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sum_{\alpha_\ell} \|D^{\alpha_\ell} u(t)\|_0 + \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+2}} \|D^{\alpha_{\ell+2}} u(t)\|_0 . \tag{2.21}$$

Taking into consideration results (2.14) and (2.15) for $1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$, we prove for $k = \ell$. By using the conservation law

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\ell+3}(u) &= \int \{ \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+2}} (D^{\alpha_{\ell+2}} u)^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} c_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} u (D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} u)^2 + \\ & \quad c_{\alpha_0} u^{\ell+4} + Q_{\ell+3}(u, D^{\alpha_1} u, ..., D^{\alpha_\ell} u) \} dx, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+2}} \| D^{\alpha_{\ell+2}} u(t) \|_0^2 &= -\sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} c_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} \int u (D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} u)^2 dx - c_{\alpha_0} \int u^{\ell+4} dx - \\ & \quad \int Q_{\ell+3}(u, D^{\alpha_1} u, ..., D^{\alpha_\ell} u) dx + \\ & \quad \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+2}} \int (D^{\alpha_{\ell+2}} g)^2 dx + \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} c_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} \int g (D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} g)^2 dx + \\ & \quad c_{\alpha_0} \int g^{\ell+4} dx + \int Q_{\ell+3}(g, D^{\alpha_1} g, ..., D^{\alpha_\ell} g) dx. \end{aligned}$$

And therefore we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+2}} \| D^{\alpha_{\ell+2}} u(t) \|_0^2 &\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} \| D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} u(t) \|_0^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\ell+2} \|u\|_0^2 + \\ & \quad | \int Q_{\ell+3}(u, D^{\alpha_1} u, ..., D^{\alpha_\ell} u) dx | + \\ & \quad \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+2}} \left\| D^{\ell+2} g \right\|^2 + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} \| D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} g \|_0^2 + \\ & \quad \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{\ell+2} \|g\|_0^2 + | \int Q_{\ell+3}(g, D^{\alpha_1} g, ..., D^{\alpha_\ell} g) dx |. \quad (2.22) \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the factor $\| D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} u(t) \|_0^2$, we use the conservation law

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\ell+2}(u) &= \int \{ \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} (D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}} u)^2 + \sum_{\alpha_\ell} c_{\alpha_\ell} u (D^{\alpha_\ell} u)^2 + \\ & \quad c_{\alpha_0} u^{\ell+3} + Q_{\ell+2}(u, D^{\alpha_1} u, ..., D^{\alpha_{\ell-1}} u) \} dx, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain the estimate,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} \|D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}}u(t)\|_0^2 \leq\ & \|u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\alpha_\ell} \|D^{\alpha_\ell}u(t)\|_0^2 + \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\ell+1} \|u\|_0^2 + \\
& |\int Q_{\ell+2}(u, D^{\alpha_1}u, ..., D^{\alpha_{\ell-1}}u)dx| + \\
& \sum_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} \|D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}}g\|^2 + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\alpha_\ell} \|D^{\alpha_\ell}g\|_0^2 + \\
& \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{\ell+1} \|g\|_0^2 + |\int Q_{\ell+2}(g, D^{\alpha_1}g, ..., D^{\alpha_{\ell-1}}g)dx| \quad (2.23)
\end{aligned}
$$

By using induction hypothesis, we obtain that the term $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\alpha_\ell} \|D^{\alpha_\ell}u(t)\|_0^2$ can be bounded by a polynomial in $\|g\|_0, \|D^{\alpha_1}g\|_0, \cdots, \|D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}}g\|_0$ of degree $2 + 2(\ell+1) = 2\ell + 4$.

In order to estimate the integral $\int Q_{\ell+3}(u, D^{\alpha_1}u, ..., D^{\alpha_\ell}u)dx$ in (2.22), we use the fact that each term of $Q_{\ell+3}$ has degree ≥ 3 and is of the form, $\prod_{i=0}^{\ell}(D^{\alpha_i}u)^{l_{\alpha_i}}$, where $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell}(1+\frac{i}{2})l_{\alpha_i} = \ell + 4$, as seen in (1.8). Thus we can write each term of $\int Q_{\ell+3}dx$ in the form

$$
\int u^{l_{\alpha_0}}(D^{\alpha_1}u)^{l_{\alpha_1}} \cdots (D^{\alpha_i}u)^{l_{\alpha_i}-1} \cdots (D^{\alpha_j}u)^{l_{\alpha_j}-1} \cdots (D^{\alpha_\ell}u)^{l_{\alpha_\ell}} D^{\alpha_i}u D^{\alpha_j}u dx,
$$

where $0 \leq i, j \leq \ell - 1$. The expression above can be estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{l_{\alpha_0}} \|D^{\alpha_1}u\|_{L^\infty}^{l_{\alpha_1}} \cdots \|D^{\alpha_i}u\|_{L^\infty}^{l_{\alpha_i}-1} \cdots \|D^{\alpha_j}u\|_{L^\infty}^{l_{\alpha_j}-1} \cdots \\
& \|D^{\alpha_\ell}u\|_{L^\infty}^{l_{\alpha_\ell}} \|D^{\alpha_i}u(t)\|_0 \|D^{\alpha_j}u(t)\|_0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction hypothesis, we have that $\|D^{\alpha_i}u\|_{L^\infty}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$, can be bounded by a polynomial $P_{i+2}^*(\|g\|_0, \|D^{\alpha_1}g\|_0, \cdots, \|D^{\alpha_{i+2}}g\|_0)$, therefore $\int \prod_{i=0}^{\ell}(D^{\alpha_i}u)^{l_{\alpha_i}}dx$ can be bounded by a polynomial in $\|g\|_0, \|D^{\alpha_1}g\|_0, \cdots, \|D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}}g\|_0$ whose degree is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2l_{\alpha_0} + 3l_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + (i+2)(l_{\alpha_i} - 1) + \cdots + (j+2)(l_{\alpha_j} - 1) \\
& + \cdots + (\ell - 1 + 2)(l_{\alpha_\ell} - 1) + (i+1) + (j+1),
\end{aligned}
$$

which equals to $2\ell + 4$. From where we obtain that $\int Q_{\ell+3}(u, D^{\alpha_1}u, \cdots, D^{\alpha_\ell}u)dx$ can be estimated by a polynomial in $\|g\|_0, \|D^{\alpha_1}g\|_0, \cdots, \|D^{\alpha_{\ell+1}}g\|_0$ of degree

$2\ell+4$. Analogously $\int Q_{\ell+2}dx$ in (2.23) can be estimated; the same result holds for $\int Q_{\ell+3}(g, D^{\alpha_1}g, \cdots, D^{\alpha_\ell}g)dx$ in (2.22) and $\int Q_{\ell+2}(g, D^{\alpha_1}g, \cdots, D^{\alpha_{\ell-1}}g)dx$ in (2.23). By replacing (2.23) in (2.22), we obtain

$$\|D^{\alpha_{\ell+2}}u(t)\|_0 \leq \widetilde{P}_{\ell+3}(\|g\|_0, \|D^{\alpha_1}g\|_0, \cdots, \|D^{\alpha_{\ell+2}}\|_0), \tag{2.24}$$

which replaced in (2.23) yields (2.15). Finallly, inequalities (2.21) and (2.24) yield, the estimate (2.14).

□

Theorem 2.2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ and $T > 0$ finite. Then the Cauchy problem (2.12) has a solution in $\mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2)$.*

Proof: Let $\widehat{g}$ be a representative of g in $\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[\mathbf{R}^2]$. Since $\widehat{g}_\varepsilon \in H^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, by Theorem 2.1 and remark 2.1, there is a solution $\widehat{u}_\varepsilon$ in $C^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbf{R}^n)\cap L^\infty([0,T], H^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))$ to the problem

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial_t\widehat{u}_\varepsilon = \partial_{x_1}G_m(\widehat{u}_\varepsilon) + \partial_{x_2}G_m(\widehat{u}_\varepsilon) \ \text{ in } (0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2 \\ \widehat{u}_\varepsilon(0) = \widehat{g}_\varepsilon \ \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^2. \end{array} \tag{2.25}$$

Hence, given $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^{1+2}$, it follows from the equation in (2.25) that $D^\alpha\widehat{u}_\varepsilon(t,\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ for all $t \in (0,T)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since

$$\|D^\alpha\widehat{u}_\varepsilon\|_0 \leq \sqrt{T}\, sup_t\, \|D^\alpha\widehat{u}_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\|_0, \tag{2.26}$$

we have $\widehat{u}_\varepsilon \in H^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2)$. In particular the map $\widehat{u} : I\times(0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$, $(\varepsilon,t,x)\mapsto\widehat{u}_\varepsilon(t,x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_2[(0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2]$. The proof of $\widehat{u}\in\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[(0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2]$ is a consequence of inequality (2.26) and of the lemma 2.1.

□

3 Uniqueness Result

The following result establishes the uniqueness of solutions to the problem (2.12).

Theorem 3.1. *If u and its x-derivatives up to order $3m-3$ are of ∞-(log)$^{\frac{1}{2(m-1)^2}}$-type, then there is at most one solution $u \in \mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times \mathbf{R}^2)$ to the problem (2.12).*

Proof: Let $u, v \in \mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2)$ be two solutions of (2.12) as stated above, i.e., there are respective representatives $\widehat{u} = \widehat{u}_\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\widehat{v} = \widehat{v}_\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot)$ in $\mathcal{E}_{M,2}[(0,T)\times \mathbf{R}^2)]$ such that $\widehat{u} = (\widehat{u}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, $\widehat{v} = (\widehat{v}_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ satisfy the condition: their x-derivatives up to order $3m-3$ satisfy the estimate $\|\widehat{u}_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} = \mathcal{O}(|\log\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{2(m-1)^2}})$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$. There are $\widehat{N} \in \mathcal{N}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^2)$ and $\widehat{\eta} \in \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t(\widehat{u}_\varepsilon - \widehat{v}_\varepsilon)(t,x) = \textstyle\sum_1^2 \partial_{x_i}(G_m(\widehat{u}_\varepsilon) - G_m(\widehat{v}_\varepsilon))(t,x) + \widehat{N}_\varepsilon(t,x)\\ &(\widehat{u}_\varepsilon - \widehat{v}_\varepsilon)(0,x) = \widehat{\eta}_\varepsilon(x).\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

By changing representatives, we may assume $\widehat{\eta} = 0$. For simplicity, we drop the ε and hat in our notations. Thus, setting $w = u - v$, problem (3.27) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t w = \textstyle\sum_1^2 \partial_{x_i}(G_m(u) - G_m(v)) + N\\ &w|_{t=0} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

By [12, proposition 3.4(ii)] see also [13], it is sufficient show that

$$\|w\|_0 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^q), \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

After multiplying equation in (3.28) by w and integrating with respect to x and t, it results, after integration by parts, in

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \partial_t w^2 dxdt = -\int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \sum_1^2 \partial_{x_i} w(G_m(u) - G_m(v))dxdt + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} wN dxdt. \tag{3.29}$$

In what follows, we drop $\mathbf{R}^2$ and $dxdt$ in the integrals. Remind that $G_m(u)$ is the gradient of the functional $F_m(u)$ in u, where $F_m(u)$ has the form (1.6), and each term of $f_m(u)$ is of type (1.8). In particular the terms

$$\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} (D^{\alpha_{m-1}}u)^2 \text{ and } \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} (D^{\alpha_{m-2}}u)^2 u \quad \text{of} \quad f_m \quad \text{leads to}$$

$$2(-1)^{m-1} \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} D^{2\alpha_{m-1}} u \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} [2(-1)^{m-2} D^{\alpha_{m-2}}(uD^{\alpha_{m-2}}u) + (D^{\alpha_{m-2}}u)^2],$$

respectively, in G_m. The first expression does not contribute in (3.29), and the contribution of the second expression is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\textstyle\int_0^t\int\sum_1^2\partial_{x_i}w\sum_{\alpha_{m-2}}[2(-1)^{m-2}D^{\alpha_{m-2}}(uD^{\alpha_{m-2}}u-vD^{\alpha_{m-2}}v)\\
&\qquad +(D^{\alpha_{m-2}}u)^2-(D^{\alpha_{m-2}}v)^2]|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= &\ |\int_0^t\int 2(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w\sum_{\alpha_{m-2}}(uD^{\alpha_{m-2}}w+wD^{\alpha_{m-2}}v)+\\
&\int_0^t\int(-1)^{m-1}\sum_{l=1}^{2}\partial_{x_i}w\sum_{\alpha_{m-2}}D^{\alpha_{m-2}}w(D^{\alpha_{m-2}}u+D^{\alpha_{m-2}}v)|.
\end{aligned}
$$

Which, after using the inequality (2.17), can be estimated by

$$
c\int_0^t[\|w(s)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_1}\|D^{\alpha_1}w(s)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-2}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-2}}w(s)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(s)\|_0^2].
$$

Which, after using of the inequality (2.16), can be estimated by

$$
c\int_0^t[\|w(s)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(s)\|_0^2]ds,
$$

where $c=2(\|u\|_{L^\infty}+\sum_{\alpha_{m-2}}(\|D^{\alpha_{m-2}}u\|_{L^\infty}+\|D^{\alpha_{m-2}}v\|_{L^\infty}))$.
The term $u^{m+1}$of $f_m(u)$ gives in $G_m(u)$ the term $(m+1)u^m$, whose contribution in (3.29) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\int_0^t\int\sum_1^2\partial_{x_i}w(u^m-v^m)|\\
= &\ c|\int_0^t\int\sum_1^2\partial_{x_i}ww(u^{m-1}+u^{m-2}v+\cdots+uv^{m-2}+v^{m-1})|\\
\le &\ c\int_0^t(\|w(s)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_1}\|D^{\alpha_1}w(s)\|_0^2)\,ds,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1}+\|u\|_{L^\infty}^{m-2}\|v\|_{L^\infty}+\cdots+\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^\infty}^{m-2}+\|v\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1}$.

In a similar fashion the other terms in (3.29) can be estimated giving, after summing up the results and substituting into (3.29), the estimate

$$
\|w(t)\|_0^2\le\|w\|_0\|N\|_0+c\int_0^t(\|w(s)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(s)\|_0^2)ds, \tag{3.30}
$$

where c is a polynomial in $\|D^{\alpha_k}u\|_{L^\infty}$, $\|D^{\alpha_k}v\|_{L^\infty}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, m-2$, with degree at most $m-1$.

After multiplying equation in (3.28) by $G_m(u) - G_m(v)$ and integrating in x and t it results in

$$\int_0^t \int \partial_t w(G_m(u) - G_m(v)) = \int_0^t \int N(G_m(u) - G_m(v)). \tag{3.31}$$

The term $2(-1)^{m-1} \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} D^{2\alpha_{m-1}}u$ of $G_m(u)$, gives on the left-hand side of (3.31) the contribution

$$2(-1)^{m-1} \int_0^t \int \partial_t w \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} D^{2\alpha_{m-1}} w = \int_0^t \int \partial_t \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} (D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w)^2 = \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w\|_0^2 .$$

Therefore equation (3.31) can be written in the form

$$\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w\|_0^2 = \sum_{\lambda \le m-2} J_{\alpha_\lambda}(w(t)) + \int_0^t \int N(G_m(u) - G_m(v)), \tag{3.32}$$

where the $J'_{\alpha_\lambda} s$ are contributions in the left hand side of (3.31) obtained as it follows: we consider the following cases:

(a) term $(m+1)u^m$ of G_m , whose contribution in (3.31) is

$$J_{\alpha_0} = \int_0^t \int \partial_t w w(u^{m-1} + u^{m-2}v + \cdots + uv^{m-2} + v^{m-1}).$$

Integration by parts in the variable t gives

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha_0} \le \; & c \left\| u^{m-1} + u^{m-2}v + \cdots + uv^{m-2} + v^{m-1} \right\|_{L^\infty} \int w^2 \\ & + c \left\| \partial_t(u^{m-1} + u^{m-2}v + \cdots + uv^{m-2} + v^{m-1}) \right\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \int w^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side of the equation in the problem (2.12) has degree m $\partial_t(u^{m-1} + u^{m-2}v + \cdots + uv^{m-2} + v^{m-1})$ has degree $2m-2$ and we obtain the estimate

$$J_{\alpha_0} \le c\{\|w(t)\|_0^2 + \int_0^t \|w(s)\|_0^2 \, ds\},$$

where c is a polynomial in $\left\|D^k u\right\|_{L^\infty}$, $\left\|D^k v\right\|_{L^\infty}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2m-1$, of degree $2m-2$.

(b) Taking into consideration the term

$$\sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} [2(-1)^{m-2} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} (u D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u) + (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u)^2]$$

of G_m, we have the following contribution in (3.31)

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha_{m-2}} &= \int_0^t \int \partial_t w \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} 2(-1)^{m-2} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} (u D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u - v D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int \partial_t w \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} [(D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u)^2 - (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v)^2] \\
&= \int_0^t \int \partial_t w \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} [2(-1)^{m-2} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} (u D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w + w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v)] \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int \partial_t w \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u + D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v).
\end{aligned}$$

By using integration by parts in x, we reach

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha_{m-2}} &\leq |\int_0^t \int 2 \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} \partial_t w (u D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w + w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int \partial_t w \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u + D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha_{m-2}} &\leq |\int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} [\partial_t (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w)^2 u + 2 D^{\alpha_{m-2}} \partial_t w w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v] \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \partial_t w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u + D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v)|.
\end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts in t, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha_{m-2}} &\leq |\int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w)^2 u - \int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w)^2 \partial_t u + \\
&\quad 2 \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v - 2 \int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w \partial_t (w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v). \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \partial_t w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u + D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the equality

$$\partial_t w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} u = D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w \partial_t w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v + \partial_t w (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w)^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha_{m-2}} \leq \ & |\int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w)^2 u - \int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w)^2 \partial_t u + \\ & 2 \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w w D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v + \int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \partial_t w (D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w)^2 - \\ & 2 \int_0^t \int \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w w \partial_t D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha_{m-2}} \leq \ & \|u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w(t)\|_0^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w(s)\|^2 \, ds \\ & +2 \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} (\|w(t)\|_0^2 + \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w(t)\|_0^2) \\ & + \|\partial_t w\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w(s)\|_0^2 \, ds \\ & + \|\partial_t D^{\alpha_{m-2}} v\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} (\|w(s)\|_0^2 + \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w(s)\|_0^2) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\alpha_{m-2}} \leq \ & c\{(\|w(t)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w(t)\|_0^2) \\ & + \int_0^t (\|w(s)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}} w(s)\|_0^2) ds\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since equation in the problem (2.12) has order $2m-1$, we have that c is a polynomial in $\|D^{\alpha_k} u\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|D^{\alpha_k} v\|_{L^\infty}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 3m-3$ of degree m.

Similarly the other terms in (3.32) can be estimated, giving

$$J_{\alpha_\lambda} \leq c\{\|w(t)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_\lambda} \|D^{\alpha_\lambda} w(t)\|_0^2 + \int_0^t (\|w(s)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_\lambda} \|D^{\alpha_\lambda} w(s)\|_0^2) ds\},$$

where λ is an integer such that $0 < \lambda < m-2$ and c is a polynomial in $\|D^{\alpha_k}u\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|D^{\alpha_k}v\|_{L^\infty}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 3m-3$, of degree $\leq 2m-3$.

By combining these results with (3.32) we obtain, after we use (2.16), the following estimate

$$\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2 \leq c\{\|w(t)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}}w(t)\|_0^2 +$$

$$\int_0^t (\|w(s)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-2}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-2}}w(s)\|_0^2)ds\} + \|N\|_0 \|G_m(u) - G_m(v)\|_0 . \qquad (3.33)$$

Inequality (2.16), with $\alpha = m-2$, $\beta = m-1$, $\theta = \frac{m-2}{m-1}$ and $p = q = r = 2$, gives

$$\|D^{\alpha_{m-2}}w\|_0^2 \leq c \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w\|_0^{2\frac{m-2}{m-1}} \|w\|_0^{\frac{2}{m-1}} .$$

Applying inequality (2.17) with $p = \frac{m-1}{m-2}$, we obtain

$$\|D^{\alpha_{m-2}}w(t)\|_0^2 \leq \delta \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2 + k(\delta) \|w(t)\|_0^2 , \qquad (3.34)$$

where $k(\delta) = \delta^{2-m}$ and $\delta > 0$ (arbitrary). By substituting (3.34) in the estimate (3.33), we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2 \leq c\{\|w(t)\|_0^2 + k(\delta) \|w(t)\|_0^2 + \delta \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2\} +$$

$$c\int_0^t (\|w(s)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(s)\|_0^2)ds + \|N\|_0 \|G_m(u) - G_m(v)\|_0 .$$

Choosing δ conveniently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2 \;\leq\; & c\|w(t)\|_0^2 + c\int_0^t (\|w(s)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(s)\|_0^2)ds \\ & + \|N\|_0 \|G_m(u) - G_m(v)\|_0 ,\end{aligned}$$

where c is a polynomial in $\|D^k u\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|D^k v\|_{L^\infty}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 3m-3$, of degree $2(m-1)^2$. By using (3.30), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2 \;\leq\; & c\|w\|_0 \|N\|_0 + c\int_0^t (\|w(s)\|_0^2 + \sum_{\alpha_{m-1}} \|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(s)\|_0^2)ds \\ & + \|N\|_0 \|G_m(u) - G_m(v)\|_0 .\end{aligned}$$

Adding this inequality to (3.30) it results in

$$\begin{aligned}\|w(t)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2 \;\leq\; & c\int_0^t(\|w(s)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(s)\|_0^2)ds\\ &+c(\|N\|_0\,\|G_m(u)-G_m(v)\|_0+\|N\|_0\,\|w\|_0).\end{aligned}$$

By using Gronwall's Lemma, we obtain

$$\|w(t)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2\leq \alpha e^{cT}, \tag{3.35}$$

where $\alpha=c(\|N\|_0\,\|G_m(u)-G_m(v)\|_0+\|N\|_0\,\|w\|_0)$ and c is a polynomial in $\|D^{\alpha_k}u\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|D^{\alpha_k}v\|_{L^\infty}$, $k=0,1,2,\cdots,3m-3$, of degree $2(m-1)^2$.

The solutions u, v and its x-derivatives up to order $3m-3$ are of ∞-$(\log)^{\frac{1}{2(m-1)^2}}$-type, i.e. their representatives u and v can be chosen such that

$$\|D^{\alpha_k}u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty},\|D^{\alpha_k}v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}=\mathcal{O}(|\log\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{2(m-1)^2}}),\text{ as }\varepsilon\to 0,\ k=0,1,2,\cdots,3m-3.$$

From (3.35) and since $N\in\mathcal{N}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R})$, we have

$$\|w(t)\|_0^2+\sum_{\alpha_{m-1}}\|D^{\alpha_{m-1}}w(t)\|_0^2\leq c\varepsilon^q,\forall q\text{ and }\varepsilon>0,\text{ small enough.} \tag{3.36}$$

We have then that $\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|w_\varepsilon(t)\|_0^2=\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^q)$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$, $\forall q$; and therefore

$$\|w_\varepsilon\|_0=\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^q),\quad\text{as}\quad\varepsilon\to 0. \tag{3.37}$$

Remark 3.1. *A consequence of the Lemma 2.1 is that if $g\in\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^2)$, together with x-derivatives up to order $3m-1$ are of 2-$(\log)^{\frac{1}{2(3m-1)(m-1)^2}}$-type, then the solutions given in Theorem 2.2, together with their x-derivatives up to order $3m-3$ are of ∞-$(\log)^{\frac{1}{2(m-1)^2}}$-type.*

We observe that our results extend for equations in $\mathbf{R}^n$.

Remark 3.2. *By following the same technique, we can prove the following result: let $g\in\mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $T>0$ finite. Then the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{aligned}&u_t=\textstyle\sum_{\alpha_1}D^{\alpha_1}G_m(u),\ \ \textit{in }\mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n)\\ &u|_{\{t=0\}}=g\ \ \textit{in}\ \ \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbf{R}^n),\end{aligned}$$

has a solution in $\mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n)$. Moreover, if g and its derivatives up to order $3(m-1)+n$ are of 2-$(\log)^{\frac{1}{2(3m-1)(m-1)^2}}$-type, then there is an unique solution in $\mathcal{G}_2((0,T)\times\mathbf{R}^n)$.

References

[1] Biagioni, H. A., *A Nonlinear Theory of Generalized Functions,* Lecture Notes in Math., 1421, Springer, Berlin, (1990).

[2] Biagioni, H. A.; Iório Jr., R.. J., *Generalized solutions of the Benjamin-Ono and Smith equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 182 (1994), 465-485.

[3] Biagioni, H. A.; Oberguggenberger, M., *Generalized solutions to the Burgers' equation*, J. Differential Equations, 97 (1992), 263-287.

[4] Biagioni, H. A.; Oberguggenberger, M., *Generalized solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries and the regularized long-wave equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 23 (1992), 923-940.

[5] Bu, C., *Generalized solutions to the cubic Schrödinger equation, Nonlinear Analysis*, Theory, Methods and Applications, 27 (1996), 769-774.

[6] Bu, C., *Modified KdV equation with generalized functions as initial values*, J. Math. Phys., 36 (1996), 3454-3460.

[7] Colliander, J.; Keel, M.; Staffilani, G.; Takaoka, H.; Tao, T., *Global well-posedness for KdV in Sobolev spaces of negative index*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 26, (2001), 1-7.

[8] Colombeau, J. F., *Elementary Introduction to New Generalized Functions*, North-Holland Math. Studies, 113, Amsterdam, (1985).

[9] Egorov, Yu. V., *A contribution to the new generalized functions*, Russian Math. Survey, 45 (1990), 1-49.

[10] Friedman, A., *Partial Differential Equations,* Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, (1976).

[11] Gardner, C. S.; Greene, J. M.; Kruskal, M. D.; Miura, R. M., *Korteweg-de Vries Equation and Generalizations. VI. Methods for Exact Solution*, Com. on Pure and App. Math., vol. XXVII, (1974), 97-133.

[12] Garetto, C., *Topological structures in Colombeau algebras II: investigation into the duals of* $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{G}_s(R^n)$, //arxiv.org/abs/math.FA/0408278, 1-25.

[13] Grosser, M.; Kunzinger, M.; Oberguggenberger, M.; Steinbauer, R., *Geometric theory of generalized functions*, vol 537 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (2001).

[14] Kruskal, M. D.; Miura, R. M.; Gardner, C. S.; Zabusky, N. J., *Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations V: Uniqueness and nonexistence of polynomial conservation laws*, J. Math. Phys., 11 (1970), 952-960.

[15] Lax, P. D., *Almost periodic solutions of the KdV equation*, SIAM rev., 18 (1976), 351-375.

[16] Melo, M. M., *Generalized Solutions to Fifth-Order Evolution Equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 259, (2001), 25-45.

[17] Melo, M. M., *Generalized solutions to the KdV hierarchy*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, 37, (1999), 363-388.

[18] Miura, R. M.; Gardner, C. S.; Kruskal, M. D., *Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations II: Existence of conservation laws and constants of motion*, J. Math. Phys., 9 (1968),1204-1209.

[19] Oberguggenberger, M., *Multiplications of Distributions and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 259, Longman, New York, (1992).

[20] Ponce, G., *Higher order non-linear dispersive equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 122 (1994), 157-166.

[21] Saut, J. C., *Quelques généralisations de l'équation de Korteweg-de Vries II*, J. Differential Equations 33 (1979), 320-335.

[22] Schwarz Jr., M., *The initial value problem for the sequence of generalized Korteweg-de Vries Equations*, Adv. in Math., 54 (1984), 22-56.

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade Federal de Goiás
74001-970, Goiânia, Go
Brazil